Instrumentation & developments in NMR, part 2: Solid-state NMR & dynamic nuclear polarization



Robert Schneider

Unité de Glycobiologie Structurale et Fonctionnelle (UGSF) Université de Lille

École de Biologie Structurale Intégrative RéNaFoBiS Oléron, June 2019





Higher B₀ – beneficial for solution and solid state!

- Much progress in NMR concerns improvements in resolution and sensitivity
- Resolution ~ B_0 , sensitivity ~ $B_0^{3/2}$
- Stronger magnets are thus always beneficial!
- but especially for solid-state NMR:
 - larger linewidths, lower sensitivity to begin with
 - thus, increased T₂ relaxation due to larger CSA or a potential TROSY optimum at higher B₀ are not a concern
 - strong RF fields available on solid-state probes can much more easily cover the increased frequency range at higher B₀





Equipment progress: solid vs. solution

- As in solution, solid-state NMR greatly profited from higher B₀ fields and improved probehead and spectrometer equipment
- However, cryoprobes (with samples at ambient temperatures) only just became available
- No gradients available either (except for prototypes)



Rotor position

Stator in magic angle

Flexible coil connection bands







Beckonert et al., Nat Protoc 5, 1019, 2010 Ader et al., PNAS 107, 6281, 2010

Progress in methodology: Recoupling pulse sequences





- General idea:
 - Using tailored RF irradiation,
 - (re)activate / recouple the interaction of interest
 - by creating interference between sample (space) and spin (RF) rotation



Progress in methodology: Recoupling pulse sequences

• Develpoment of a wide range of recoupling pulse sequences

Choose your preference:

- dipolar coupling (→ distances) or chemical shift anisotropy (→ conformation)
- heteronuclear or homonuclear
- for shorter (filtering; dynamics) or longer distances (structure)
- broad-band or chemical-shift selective





HORROR / DREAM, selective recoupling, R and C sequences, PAR / PAIN,

ssNMR pulse sequences and structural biology

Notably:

- Progress in structural biology applications was often made via rather simple experiment types (SPECIFIC-CP, spin diffusion)!
- For structural information:
 - prefer many imprecise over a few precise distance restraints
 - for long(er) distance restraints,
 require recoupling methods less
 affected by dipolar truncation
 - For structure calculation purposes, complex relationship between interspin distance and signal intensity in solid-state NMR is problematic!



The importance of sample preparation

- Avoid lyophilization or (random) precipitation for sample preparation!
 - sufficient water content and
 - local, short-range order are important!
- Soluble proteins: microcrystals
- Fibrils, membrane proteins: pelleting by ultracentrifugation (directly into NMR rotor)
- Screen for optimal conditions giving best spectra! (e.g. avoiding fibril polymorphism)



Petkova et al., PNAS 99, 16742, 2002 Aulikki Wälti et al, PNAS 2016 Bertini et al., J Biomol NMR 54, 123, 2012





Isotope labeling: Selective ¹³C incorporation



- e.g. using [1,3-¹³C]- / [2-¹³C]glycerol, [1-¹³C]- / [2-¹³C]-glucose as carbon sources
- Reduce cross-peak ambiguity
- Increase resolution (remove broadening by J coupling)
- Can mix molecules with complementary labeling patterns to obtain intermolecular correlations (e.g. in fibrils)!

Castellani et al., Nature 420, 98, 2002 Loquet et al., JACS 132, 15164, 2010

Isotope labeling: Deuteration



- (Per)Deuteration (possibly also with limited ¹H back-exchange) yields "solution-like" spectra for solid proteins even at low MAS speeds!
- Dilution of strongly coupled ¹H network essential
- ¹H detection (sensitivity increase!) becomes possible

MAS: fast, very fast, ultrafast, warp speed



- Smaller rotors allow for faster MAS speeds, currently up to ~ 110 kHz
- **New "regime"** for solid-state NMR: different recoupling methods, new low-power pulse sequences also permitting **faster repetition**
- Smaller sample amounts required!
- Longer coherence lifetimes \rightarrow higher-dimensional spectra, J transfers
- Above 100 kHz, deuteration no longer required for high-resolution ¹Hdetected spectra!

Deuteration and fast MAS combined



- Combine (per)deuteration and high MAS speeds for best results
- As opposed to solution-state NMR, linewidth does not depend on molecular size, i.e. can in principle access arbitrarily large molecules!

- Site-specific **relaxation** measurements for **dynamics** studies, previously inaccessible to solid-state NMR, become possible
 - → measure motion on ns-to-ms time scales, which are difficult to access for solution-state NMR due to overall molecular tumbling!



COMMUNICATION

Lewandowski et al., JACS 133, 16762, 2011

Haller & Schanda, J Biomol NMR 57, 263, 2013



- Centrifugal forces during MAS can be an order of magnitude larger than in ultracentrifuges
- ⇒ Depending on molecular size and spinning speed, proteins can be reversibly sedimented from solution in the MAS rotor for solid-state NMR experiments!

Sensitivity

• Defined as **signal to noise** ratio per **unit acquisition time**:

$$S \sim N Q \gamma_e \gamma_d^{3/2} B_0^{3/2} T_2^{1/2} T^{-3/2} (t_{\rm aq}/T_c)^{1/2}$$

- N: number of spins
- Q: probe coil quality factor
- $-\gamma_e$: gyromagnetic ratio of *excited* nucleus
- γ_d : gyromagnetic ratio of *detected* nucleus
- T₂: transverse relaxation time
- T: absolute temperature
- t_{aq}: acquisition sampling time
- T_c : total time between acquisitions (t_{aq} + recycle delay)

Sensitivity

$$\mathcal{S} \sim N Q \gamma_e \gamma_d^{3/2} B_0^{3/2} T_2^{1/2} T^{-3/2} (t_{\rm aq}/T_c)^{1/2}$$

- However, improvements in sensitivity by increasing B₀ are rather incremental... and extremely expensive!
- Is there another way to increase sensitivity, maybe more drastically?



Dynamic Nuclear Polarization (DNP)





- Transfer the much larger electron spin polarization to nuclei to enhance their signal!
- Theoretical enhancement factor of ~660 (ratio of electron and proton gyromagnetic ratios)!
- Principle: saturate transition of an unpaired electron (radical) via microwave irradiation; e⁻ – n polarization transfer via hyperfine coupling
- first proposed by A.
 Overhauser (yes, that one!) in 1953

DNP: flip-flop, flip-flip, flip-flop-flip



- Originally proposed mechanism is a cross-relaxation mechanism and relies on dynamics (hence the name!)
 - inefficient for larger molecules / at high field
- perform DNP in the solid state at cryogenic temperatures (≤ 100 K) to
 - transfer via the more efficient cross effect (or solid effect / thermal mixing)
 - have much longer electron (and nuclear) T₁ relaxation times
 - (as well as larger initial polarization of both)

DNP: Howto

- Dissolve sample in glycerol / D₂O / H₂O (60/30/10) doped with organic (bi)radicals (~ mM)
 - glass-forming matrix ensuring homogeneous radical distribution and absence of water crystals in the frozen state
- Polarize at ≤ 100 K using highfrequency microwave radiation at several W of power (→ gyrotron source; e.g. 263 GHz for DNP at 9.4 T)
 - ideally at low B₀ field since efficiency of cross / solid effect drops as B₀⁻¹ / B₀⁻², respectively!
 - Polarization spreads in sample via ¹H spin diffusion!
- ... and then?



DNP for solution NMR?



- **Dissolution DNP**: After hyperpolarization, **rapidly dissolve** sample (hot buffer!), **transfer** to NMR magnet, detect!
 - one-shot experiment!
- Alternatives which can **repeat** the experiment:
 - **temperature-jump DNP (**rapidly **melt** sample via **laser**)
 - **shuttle DNP** (in solution, use Overhauser effect at low field)
- Other hyperpolarization techniques accessible in solution exist:
 - parahydrogen induced polarization; optical pumping; (photo-)CIDNP
 Ardenkiær-Larsen et al. PNAS 1

Ardenkjær-Larsen et al. PNAS 100, 10158, 2003

Solid-state DNP NMR



- Remain in solid state at cryogenic temperatures for better polarization and relaxation properties!
- Polarize "in situ" in the NMR magnet
 - use the cross effect and optimized biradicals to still obtain reasonable enhancements despite field dependence
 - waveguides from gyrotron to sample in the NMR probe
- Perform magic angle spinning to improve resolution
 - need cryogenic N₂ (or He!) gas for spinning!
 - rotors "translucent" to microwaves (sapphire!)

Bruker Biospin Barnes et al., Appl Magn Reson 34, 237, 2008

Solid-state DNP NMR setup



 Magnet setup includes a supplementary supraconducting sweep coil to change the B₀ field (!) to the optimal resonance condition for the radical used

> Bruker Biospin (https://www.bruker.com/service/education-training/ webinars/nmr-webinars/introduction-to-solid-state-dnp-nmr.html)



- Enhancement ~240 at 400 MHz, ~130 at 600 MHz!
- An enhancement factor x in sensitivity permits a reduction in experiment time by a factor of x²!
- Perform "normal" ssNMR experiments after initial enhanced excitation

Bruker Biospin (https://www.bruker.com/service/education-training/ webinars/nmr-webinars/introduction-to-solid-state-dnp-nmr.html)

Challenges and problems

- Actual enhancement factor can vary widely depending on the system studied
 - optimization necessary
 - influence of deuteration level, MAS, (bi)radical, ...
- Large inhomogeneous **broadening** at low temperature!
 - depends on heterogeneity of sample (and deuteration!)
- Broadening due to radical-induced paramagnetic relaxation (-> PRE)
- Absolute signal enhancement (considering reduced amount of sample, different broadening effects, ...) may be way less than the conventional "MW on – MW off" enhancement!



Akbey et al., Appl Magn Reson 43, 81, 2012

Applications in structural biology

- Reasonable resolution obtained for some protein fibrils (e.g. Aβ40)
 - apparently remain quite
 homogeneous when frozen out!
 - collection of through-space restraints facilitated by S/N gain
- Study a low abundance target molecule in a complex environment
 - bacteriorhodopsin photocycle (¹⁵N-Lys labeling; trap intermediates at low temperature)
 - nascent chain in ribosome, DNA in bacteriophage
 - protein in cells, cell walls, cellular lysates (e.g. via radical ligands!)
- Observe (parts of) proteins or RNA that are **too mobile** at room temperature
 - directly visualize conformational sampling of IDPs



Lopez del Amo et al., J Biomol NMR 56, 359, 2013 Mak-Jurkauskas et al., PNAS 105, 883, 2008 Lange et al., Sci Adv 2, e1600379, 2016 Kaplan et al, Cell 167, 1241, 2016 Frederick et al., Cell 163, 1, 2015 Sergeyev et al., JACS 133, 20208, 2011 Gupta et al., J Phys Chem B 120, 329, 2015 Renault et al., Angew Chem Int Ed 51, 2998, 2012 Viennet et al., Angew Chem Int Ed 55, 10746, 2016 Uluca et al., Biophys J 114, 1614, 2018